CANDOUR The British Views-Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol XLII, No 1 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* January 1990

SALES, RESALES AND ROBBERY

by HILDA PHILLIPS

[OMINOUS media silence concerning New Zealand seems to indicate the imminence of more liberal concessions in the monotonous "deals" being done with alleged "rightful owners" of the once British Imperial Dominions and Colonies all round the world. Mrs Phillips has made persistent efforts to change that familiar syndrome in her own country, as yet without success. While disagreeing entirely with her views on the desirability of race-mixing, we are glad to have the opportunity to publicise her synopsis of the historical and present situation regarding the so-called Maori land rights - Editor.]

HOWEVER or whenever Maoris arrived in New Zealand, from that unrecorded point in time until 1840 they occupied or used land and territorial fisheries only for as long as they could defend it against other warring tribes. The signing of the Treaty of Waitangi, and the introduction of English law in 1840, enabled Maoris - for the first time in their history - to own land in fee simple, that is "for time without end." The Treaty was written in English, then translated into Maori; and most Chiefs put their signature or mark to the Maori text. In 1975, the Government established the Waitangi Tribunal with "exclusive authority to determine the meaning and effect of the Treaty as embodied in the two texts and to decide issues raised by the differences between them." However, compared side-by-side, the two texts agree far more than they differ.

Fighting Words

The Treaty is a simple document consisting of three Articles. The current Maori Affairs Act 1953 consists of 473 contradictory sections. The Act is administered by a Government Department and judges who preside over the 1865-constituted Maori-named Land Court and the Maori Appellate Court. Since 1975, the Chief Judge of the Maori Courts has become chairman of the Waitangi Tribunal. The person presently wearing these two hats is Chief Judge Taihakurei Durie. In 1981, he reportedly told a N.Z. Law Society conference that "the law will never actually provide for Maoris and their land without a fight and actual physical violence." He went on to say that "Maori land law has not adequately catered for the Maori concept of group or tribal" land ownership - a claim which completely disregards a number of facts.

Until 1840, the "going price" for property as well as territory was often wholesale tribal massacre. The now much-vaunted "Maori concept of group or tribal" land ownership was more in the nature of a tribal defence. Even within their tribal territories, a Chief's sovereignty could not ensure anyone's continued occupation of property, let alone that the next generation would inherit it. The introduction of English law brought about a change in which land could be owned. Where the Maori possession of land was clearly recognised, their unregistered

customary right to the land was confirmed with the issue of a registerable proprietory title. Such Maori-owned "General Land" can be, and is, held in multiple as well as individual ownership.

However, in 1840 a good deal of New Zealand territory was not Maori-owned/occupied/used. In fact, British sovereignty was proclaimed over the South Island and Stewart Island "on the grounds of Discovery". Even in the more populated North Island there were large tracts of land between the territories of the different tribes. It was mainly the purchase of these tracts of "no man's" land that gave rise to many of the disputes which brought the Maori-named Land Court into being. Even the Waitangi Tribunal has, at least, acknowledged that: "Some Maori sold land they did not own and there were continual arguments as to who had the right to sell." The Tribunal went on to explain that the Land Court was constituted "to deal with the problem of suspect sellers [and] to determine ownership prior to any sale....Original owners remain unsucceeded to this day because they cannot be identified and no-one knows who put that name in."

But the only people who put any name into the Court's records, which are kept by the Maori Affairs Department, have been Land Court judges and Maori Affairs Department officers. And, what is more, the names were often "put in" after Maoris sold land which had no "original" Maori owners at the time of the Treaty of Waitangi was signed. The Tribunal also omitted to say that, even prior to 1865, the Government had often repeatedly purchased the same piece of land from different Maoris! And that is why the Maori-named Land Court was constituted: to determine who was rightfully entitled to land the ownership of which was Maori-disputed rather than Maori-owned.

Frauds And False Claims

Instead of fulfilling its function, the Court "brought into existence a regular system of concocting false claims, by which the real owners are often driven out. In numerous instances, frauds have been perpetrated successfully both upon Maoris and Europeans, the true owners being defrauded by conspiracy and perjury." Furthermore: "In many instances after Maoris sold land, the property was divided in the Court and a fresh [bogus] title was given to Maoris who'd sold the land." [Appendix to the Journal of the House of Representatives, 1891] It is land dealt with by the Maori-named Law Courts which the Maori Affairs Act quite erroneously calls "Maori Land". As section 133[4] of the Act itself acknowledges, the land is also owned by "any person who was not a Maori or a descendant of a Maori". Furthermore, in 1980 the McCarthy Royal Commission on the Maori Courts stated that those subject to the Court's jurisdiction "include, of course, Europeans...the Government, and others", thereby indisputably recognising that they are non-Maori owners of what the Maori Affairs Act mistakenly calls "Maori Land".

A noteworthy point is that on page 4 of his submission to the McCarthy Commission, Judge Taihakurei Durie said that several generations of his forebears were involved in Maori land transactions before and after the establishment of the Maori Land Court in 1865. He went on to state that he made his submission "primarily as a Judge, but [also] as an individual who, in the normal course of Maori succession, might reasonably expect to one day hold [sic] an undivided interest in Maori freehold land." The ill-founded nature of Judge Durie's personal expectations is illustrated by the following facts. Firstly, race was

never a pre-requisite in the ownership of land to which the Maori Affairs Act applies, and which he refers to as "Maori land law." Secondly, today racial inter-marriage means officialdom cannot always say who is, and who isn't, of Maori descent: the legal definition of "a Maori" defies legal interpretation. Thirdly, the McCarthy Commission was justifiably outraged to find that the Court's records - which are kept by the Maori Affairs Department - are in a state of "severe disarray" with thousands of incomplete ownership records. The Commission also found that the Maori Trust Boards, established over the years, are largely "tribal" in name only; with, in some instances, a far higher incidence of unknown than known owners.

It also bears stressing: 512 Chiefs were signatories to the Treaty of Waitangi, so there must have been at least 512 tribes - otherwise they would not have been Chiefs! In addition, some [many?] tribes did not sign the Treaty. So, on a head-count of Chiefs in 1840 there must have been over 500 tribes, but - in 1974 - the Maori Affairs Department could name only 40 tribes. Furthermore, in June 1987, both the M.A.D. and the Waitangi Tribunal acknowledged to the **New Zealand Herald** that there is "no comprehensive list" of which areas of New Zealand territory were occupied by which tribes at the time the Treaty was signed. Yet the **Herald** remained silent in June 1988 when Parliament passed the Treaty of Waitangi [State Enterprises] Act making the Tribunal's recommendation binding on the Government in respect of Crown land which the Tribunal decides should be "returned" to Maoris.

The ill-founded, warranted and pernicious institutional racial discrimination became even more entrenched when the Maori Affairs Department was replaced by a Ministry of Maori Affairs, operative from 1st August 1989. As matters now stand, the Minister of Maori Affairs is accountable for - and the chairman of the Waitangi Tribunal is directly involved in - a system which completes negates the Treaty Of Waitangi's claim to guarantee to Maoris "all the same rights as those of the people of England", abrogates the Crown's integrity, dishonours the Chiefs' "partnership agreement", and cancels out democracy.

[Mrs Phillips has developed her arguments in a 44-page paper entitled **Let The Truth Be Known.** Australian and New Zealand readers who wish to obtain a copy are advised to contact her directly at 23 Liverpool Street, Epsom, Auckland 3, New Zealand - Editor.]

CLOCKING-ON TO EURO-TIME. Plans to bring British summer time permanently into line with the rest of Europe by putting the clocks forward one hour throughout the year are being prepared by the Government in readiness for the Single Market in 1992. Why stop there? Won't we have to turn the clocks five hours back when we have the Atlantic Union called for last month by the U.S. Secretary of State - or five hours forward when the Trilateral Commission ties all of us up with Japan?

DID 60,000 REALLY DIE? The cost in lives of the Romanian revolution is now reckoned to be grossly exaggerated, with the true figure being set at less than 800. The former death toll perhaps doesn't constitute the "hoax of the twentieth century," yet it confirms our often-expressed contention that some atrocity-claims are little more than unverifiable and meaningless conjecture, the hyperbolesque playthings of propagandists.

THE CHUNNEL OF BABEL

by CHRISTOPHER GILBERT

THE cause of our sea of troubles has suddenly surfaced after decades of its submerged activities. The Money Power seems to be so blatantly operating on a crest of a wave that there can be no excuse for even the most apolitical news-reader not noticing it.

In a recent edition of his masterly book, **The Suicide of Europe**, Prince Michel Sturdza, former foreign minister of Roumania, observes that the word Communism "is slowly fading out of the news, being replaced by the words Socialism or Democracy." But he warns: "Those who think that Communism is ended, even when Gorbachev denies it, should remember that the first to go would be the appeasers and those without 'eyes to see [when] coincidences are clad in shining light'." One of those without eyes to see, surely, is Norman Stone, Professor of Modern History at Oxford, who claimed in the **Daily Telegraph** on December 23, "In 1989 our victory in 1945 has at last been completed, with the destruction of old-style Communism. Roumania comes at the end of a Warsaw Pact chain."

Yet it is Gorbachev himself who has explained in no uncertain terms just what this supposed "destruction of old-style Communism" is all about. Under the heading "Gorbachev Backs 'Human Socialism'", an Associated Press dispatch appearing in many newspapers on November 27 quoted the following words from the Soviet leader: "The new face of Socialism is its human face: this fully corresponds to the thought of Marx.... Because its creation is the chief goal of restructuring, we can with full justification say we are building humanitarian Socialism." So "old-style" Communism is out, but new-style "Human Socialism", i.e. Communism, is in, with a "kinder, gentler" mask.

Mutual Attraction

We need not look far for the motive behind the new, benevolent countenance. After years of colossal debt and economic stagnation, Gorbachev and his new breed of Communist bosses in Eastern Europe are undertaking political reforms and resorting to an "open economy" because they are prepared at last to accept a merger with the international financial system that originally spawned Bolshevism over seventy years ago. And according to the **Evening Standard** of November 17, "Eastern Europe's Big Bang makes the London version sound like a quiet burp," as "Western" financial interests "ranging from Robert Maxwell to the General Electric Company are looking at opportunities, with Hungary leading the way, Poland moving into second place and the USSR a poor third."

Not to be outdone, Yugoslavia established its first money and securities market in 43 years in November, which is soon expected to evolve into a fully-fledged stock exchange connected to international markets. Founding the exchange, according to the **International Herald Tribune** of November 9, was "one of the preconditions set by the International Monetary Fund for its continued financial support to Yugoslavia." (The release of the Hong Kong financial centre to Red China will comprise <u>that</u> Communist state's "chunnel" to the Wall Street finance houses!) All these "openings to the East" set in motion by the moneyed elite fit in perfectly with the overall scheme, identified by Professor Carroll Quigley in **Tragedy and Hope**, to "create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole."

James Ingraham came very close to telling the whole truth about the merger of Capitalism and Communism into a single global system in the lead article in **The New American** on December 4: "An unprecedented, in-depth assault to drastically alter the public's perception of the Soviet bloc and our relationship to it is in full swing. A new world view, profoundly fraudulent, is being advanced by powerful Insiders in order to set the stage for public acceptance of a one-world superpower with themselves as the ruling class.... Long in the making, the power grab is now moving rapidly under George Bush.... Bush and Mikhail Gorbachev are working together to present the illusion of a sea-change in Communism.... But it is Bush who is calling the shots. What we are witnessing is the final act to 'comfortably merge' East and West...."

Our astute American colleague, Don Bell, saw the recent Malta summit as a "second Yalta", arranged so that the two principals in the conspiracy to merge East and West in a New World Order could agree on details. Thus, he wrote in his newsletter **Don Bell Reports** on December 1, "the apparent death of Communism is arranged, 'Human Socialism' replacing it, but still within the rules written by Marx and Lenin [and marketed by Kuhn, Loeb & Co. - C.G.], and with a Soviet Army ready to spring into action if any satellite should dare to go too far too fast. Meanwhile, massive economic aid, loans, corporate deals are being accomplished, most favoured nation in trade, membership in IMF, initial merging with the nations of Europe that are themselves being merged in 1992."

Atlantic Union Revisited

Oh yes: 1992! That reference serves to remind us that the march towards World Government has various approach lines. Should one become blocked, should the "kinder, gentler" mask of the Communists slip, the wide boys of Wall Street would not want to be left without any alternatives. Hence the report in the **Daily Mail** on December 13 that US Secretary of State, James Baker, has announced a "grand design for a new Europe as the basis of a new Atlanticism." He told EC leaders that the US wanted its transatlantic co-operation "to keep pace with European integration and institutional reform." He went on: "To this end, we propose that the US and the European Community work together to achieve - whether in its treaty or some other form - a significantly strengthened set of institutional and consultative links." The **Mail** headed the story "Shock as America bids to join Market." Plus ca change...? In the late 1930s, the scheme to unite the countries on the Atlantic sea-board was known as Atlantic Union, although it came to grief because of the isolationist attitude then prevailing among the United States electorate.

Candour has never tired of insisting on the predominant part played by International Finance in the destinies of the twentieth century. Almost daily now that part is becoming more blatant. We maintained from the first that it was not an act of popular will but high financial strategy that brought about Western European unity. The seemingly unending "historic encounters" and "openings of new chapters" being witnessed in Eastern Europe are similarly motivated. If Communism has almost served its turn, it is only because it has helped lead us to the threshold of what the Abbe de Nantes calls the "Universal Democracy". The present moves in Eastern Europe, and all the talk about eventual German re-unification and an enlargement of the EC, are no less and no more than the latest stages in a conspiracy which threatens to destroy all the nations of Europe. Prophetically, perhaps, a treatise issued jointly by PEP and Chatham House in 1971 envisaged the extension of the EC "from the Atlantic to the Urals" to embrace the Soviet Union and its satellites. Years before, George Orwell gazed into a crystal ball and labelled the set-up "Eurasia". For those with eyes to see nowadays, the coincidences are indeed clad in shining light!

SHAMEFUL SECRET

by LESLIE VON GOETZ

A NEW Canadian best-seller [*] has created a considerable stir across the Atlantic for several reasons. Unlike many revisionist historians, the author stumbled into his discovery while researching the life of a hero of the French Resistance and even more unusually he has received considerable support for many of his discoveries from senior officers who felt that the good name of their service was better supported by honesty than by cover-up. However, the cover-up has continued, including not only the French and the U.S., who were most directly concerned, but the British Government and the International Committee of the Red Cross in Geneva.

Indictment Of Eisenhower

The basic theme of the book is that between 800,000 and 1 million German prisoners (including women and children) died of exposure, unsanitary conditions, disease and starvation in American and French camps, starting in April 1945, just before the end of the war in Europe. Not all American camps were involved - in Norway and Italy there appear to have been no unusual losses, while General Patton discharged half a million men in 27 days and some 2.2 million had been discharged by September 8th. The author charges that this was deliberate policy by Eisenhower, not to release them, not to allow access to the Red Cross, not to distribute food above 1,000 calories a day even when it was available, not to allow tents or blankets even in winter when they were offered by the Red Cross. They were treated as disarmed enemy forces (i.e. not P.O.W.s) and this deprived them of all rights, including receiving or sending mail. The author specifically charges the Medical Corps for their detailed and authentic records without any signs of distress or humanity. Hospital patients had been taken to lie in mud in wire cages when thousands of beds in the same hospitals went empty.

There is plenty of support for many of the author's charges, but the overall numbers have been effectively lost, due to the way in which weekly or monthly records were kept, so that the blanket term "Other Losses", meaning death or escape, was not kept as a running total. It is on this final total that the author has received most attacks, but those who take this line have obviously forgotten that until the Russians released their prisoners and insisted that they had no more - by which time the war had been over for many years - it was not possible to say finally who was missing.

The British and Canadians had allowed their prisoners to receive mail and visits from the Red Cross, whatever their status, so the individuals who were in their hands became known and traceable, but the refusal of mail to the U.S. D.E.F.s and the French meant that there was no proof later of any individuals they had held, only gross numbers - here, there, transferred, discharged and "other losses". These figures do not tally. All that can be said is that every excuse the author has tumbled upon, whether for the lack of accuracy or for anything else, turned out to be actually invalid. Even Eisenhower's postscript in a letter to Marshall in May 1943 - "pity that we could not have killed more" - which does at least indicate his attitude to Germans, although not relevant to the present controversy, has been censored from his printed correspondence.

What did leak out, thanks to heroic efforts by a handful of individuals, was excused by lies initially, and then assumed to have been put right, when in fact no charges were made. The French and the U.S. had a great slanging match because of the appalling condition of the men who were handed over to the French by the Americans for labour. They were totally unfit for work, dying on their feet. The Americans said they had been alright when they left them, so it had to be the fault of the French. In fact, the author makes it plain that the French were very little better and the starvation continued into 1947, but it is possible that far more French knew of the scandal than did those Americans who were not actually concerned.

Since then, in the intervening forty-odd years various myths have been cultivated, like the Americans not even having enough food for themselves, that the Germans deserved it because of what they themselves had done, that knowledge of what happened would help the neo-Nazis, that the whole legality of the occupation of Germany hinged on not giving the Red Cross acess to the camps, and so forth. The chapter on "Myths, Lies and History", and the next one "By Winks and Nods", should be compulsory reading in all schools and then perhaps a new generation of historians will arise capable of carrying out their responsibilities.

[*] **Other Losses** by James Bacque [ISBN no. 0 7737-2269-6] is not yet available in the U.K. However, it is obtainable for thirty U.S. dollars from Ernst Zundel's Samisdat Publishers, 206 Carlton Street, Toronto, Ontario M5A 2L1, Canada.

FIVE-STAR PENAL SERVITUDE

A SCHEME to teach inmates of a high-security prison modern dance routine has been adversely criticised by the staff and a proposal to give convicted I.R.A. terrorists personal television sets has been condemned by Tory M.P.s. Apparently, the latter already have access to exercise machines, record players and colour T.V. in communal rooms and the use of toasters, microwave ovens and personal stereos. It is not surprising that our prisons are overcrowded. There must be queues waiting for an empty cell and we wonder if the "Guildford Four" aren't having second thoughts already! But hope yet: perhaps the high-security prison population will be reduced by food poisoned from the micro-waves! Or would that only over-load the National (Ill)-Health Service?

HE DARED TO QUESTION THE "HOLOCAUST"

THE revisionist historian Dr. Robert Faurisson was recently savagely attacked by a group of thugs called the Sons Of Jewish Remembrance, in what was obviously an attempt upon his life. We are delighted to hear from a reader that he is recovering and, moreover, is as determined as ever to continue his fight for the truth about the "Holocaust". We would urge all of you to send Dr. Faurisson "Get Well" cards at the following address: 10 Rue de Normandie, F-03200 Vichy, France.

Read **SPEARHEAD.** Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the British National Party. Sample copy available from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW for 80p including postage.

FROM SMOKE TO SMOTHER

"IT was difficult, Kenton had found, to spend any length of time in the arena of foreign politics without perceiving that political ideologies had very little to do with the ebb and flow of international relations. It was the power of Business, not the deliberations of statesmen, that shaped the destinies of nations. The Foreign Ministers of the great powers might make the actual declarations of their Governments' policies; but it was the Big Business men, the bankers and their dependents, the arms manufacturers, the oil companies, the big industrialists, who determined what those policies should be. Big Business asked the questions that it wanted to ask when and how it suited it. Big Business also provided the answers. Rome might declare itself sympathetic to a Hapsburg restoration; France might oppose it. A few months later the situation might be completely reversed.

For those few members of the public who had long memories and were not sick to death of the whole incomprehensible farce there would always be many ingenious explanations of the volte face - many explanations, but not the correct one. For that one might have to inquire into banking transactions in London, Paris and New York with the eye of a chartered accountant, the brain of an economist, the tongue of a prosecuting attorney and the patience of Job. One would have, perhaps, to note an increase in the Hungarian bank rate, an 'earmarking' of gold in Amsterdam, and a restriction of credit facilities in the Middle West of America. One would have to grope through the fog of technical mumbo-jumbo with which international business surrounds its operations and examine them in all their essential and ghastly simplicity. Then one would perhaps die of old age. The Big Business man was only one player in the game of international politics, but he was the player who made all the rules."

- Eric Ambler in **Uncommon Danger** [1937].

NEW FROM CANDOUR TAPES: THE MASS AT DOWNHAM MARKET (Tridentine Latin Mass); FAITH OF OUR FATHERS (traditionalist Catholic hymns); PRAYING THE ROSARY (15 decades with prayers and hymns). Each title now available on cassette tape for £4 including postage. Please address orders to CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPT, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD

REVOLUTION STILL-BORN? Just as we go to press, we hear that the new government in Roumania has decreed that the destruction of villages will stop and yet it has lifted Ceaucescu's ban on abortion. That doesn't sound like a Heaven of "justice and peace" to us!

PATRIOTS FOR PROFIT? - In case any readers have received a video sales list from Warrior Enterprises of 38 Southdown Drive, Brighton, we would warn that when money is sent for a film, we have heard it is then not supplied. Whether or not the blame can be put down simply to incompetence, trusting customers shouldn't be forced to write their money off to experience.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES: U.K. £6 OVERSEAS £8 or U.S. $15 AIRMAIL

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England. [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]